

EXHIBIT E20

TRIAL DAY 14, PM SESSION, on MAY 15, 2018

ANDERSON, et al. vs. BORG-WARNER CORPORATION, et al.

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

DEPARTMENT NO. 2

HON. GLORIA WHITE-BROWN, JUDGE

COORDINATED PROCEEDING
SPECIAL TITLE (RULE 3.550)

LAOSD ASBESTOS CASES

JOANNE ANDERSON AND GARY ANDERSON,

PLAINTIFFS,

VS.

BORG-WARNER CORPORATION BY ITS
SUCCESSOR-IN-INTEREST BORG-WARNER MORSE
TEC, INC., ET AL.,

DEFENDANTS.

) J.C.C.P. NO. 4674

) NO. BC666513

) PAGES 1786-1887

) **Certified Transcript**

REPORTER'S DAILY TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

MAY 15, 2018; P.M. SESSION

APPEARANCES:

(SEE FOLLOWING PAGE)

GAYE L. LIMON, CSR #7416
OFFICIAL REPORTER PRO TEMPORE

2 (Pages 1786 to 1787)


<p>1 FOR THE PLAINTIFFS: SIMON GREENSTONE PANATIER BARTLETT 2 BY: DAVID C. GREENSTONE, ESQ. 3 CHRIS PANATIER, ESQ. 4 CONOR NIDIEFFER, ESQ. 5 3780 KILROY AIRPORT 6 SUITE 540 7 LONG BEACH, CALIFORNIA 90806</p> <p>8 FOR THE DEFENDANTS BAILEY CROWE KUGLER & ARNOLD, LLP 9 JOHNSON & JOHNSON AND BY: MEL D. BAILEY, ESQ. 10 JJC: CORI STEINMANN, ESQ. 11 6550 BANK OF AMERICA PLAZA 12 901 MAIN STREET 13 DALLAS, TEXAS 75202 14 -AND- 15 KING & SPALDING 16 BY: ALEXANDER G. CALFO, ESQ. 17 633 WEST FIFTH STREET 18 SUITE 1700 19 LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90071</p> <p>20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 1786</p> <p>1 CASE NUMBER: BC666513 2 CASE NAME: JOANNE ANDERSON, GARY ANDERSON V. 3 BORG-WARNER CORPORATION, 4 ETC., ET AL. 5 WEST COVINA, CALIFORNIA MAY 15, 2018 6 DEPARTMENT 2 HON. GLORIA WHITE-BROWN, JUDGE 7 REPORTER: GAYE L. LIMON, CSR #7416 8 TIME: 1:47 P.M. 9 APPEARANCES: 10 THE DEFENDANT REPRESENTED IN COURT BY COUNSEL, 11 ALEXANDER G. CALFO, ESQ., AND MEL D. BAILEY, 12 ESQ., CORI STEINMANN, ESQ.; DAVID C. GREENSTONE, 13 ESQ., CHRIS PANATIER, ESQ., AND CONOR NIDIEFFER, 14 ESQ., REPRESENTING THE PLAINTIFFS. 15 16 -O00- 17 18 (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD 19 IN THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY:) 20 21 THE COURT: EVERYONE MAY BE SEATED. WE ARE BACK ON THE 22 RECORD IN THE ANDERSON V. JOHNSON & JOHNSON, ET AL. ALL 23 PARTIES ARE IN THEIR RESPECTIVE PLACES. JURORS AND ALTERNATE 24 JURORS ARE PRESENT. 25 MR. PANATIER, YOU MAY PROCEED WITH YOUR DIRECT 26 EXAMINATION. 27 28</p>
<p>1 2 MASTER INDEX 3 MAY 15, 2018; P.M. SESSION 4 5 CHRONOLOGICAL AND ALPHABETICAL INDEX OF WITNESSES 6 7 PLAINTIFF'S DIRECT CROSS REDIRECT RECROSS 8 LONGO, WILLIAM 9 (RESUMED) 1787(P) 10 LONGO, WILLIAM 1820(B) 11 12 LEGEND: (P) = MR. PANATIER 13 (G) = MR. GREENSTONE 14 (B) = MR. BAILEY 15 (C) = MR. CALFO 16 17 18 EXHIBITS 19 20 FOR IN WITHDRAWN/ 21 PEOPLE'S I.D. EVIDENCE REJECTED 22 861-A SUMMARY 1787 23 24 25 26 27 28</p>	<p style="text-align: right;">Page 1787</p> <p>1 2 WILLIAM LONGO, 3 CALLED AS A WITNESS BY THE PLAINTIFF, HAVING BEEN PREVIOUSLY 4 SWORN, RESUMES HIS TESTIMONY AS FOLLOWS: 5 6 DIRECT EXAMINATION (RESUMED) 7 BY MR. PANATIER: 8 Q DR. LONGO, JUST FOR SOME HOUSEKEEPING, INSTEAD OF 9 MARKING ALL OF THESE, I AM GOING TO -- I HAVE A LITTLE 10 PRINTOUT. 11 I WANT TO ASK YOU: HAVE YOU REVIEWED ALL OF 12 THESE TESTING RESULTS, THE INTERNAL DOCUMENTATION THAT WERE 13 CONTAINED IN THE BINDERS, AND RELIED UPON THEM? 14 A YES, SIR. 15 MR. PANATIER: I JUST MARKED A SUMMARY OF THAT AS 16 861-A, YOUR HONOR. 17 THE COURT: OKAY. SO MARKED. 18 19 (MARKED FOR IDENTIFICATION: 20 EXHIBIT 861-A, SUMMARY.) 21 22 Q BY MR. PANATIER: ALL RIGHT. SO LET'S GET BACK 23 TO OUR TALC FIBER HERE. 24 WHY IS IT IMPORTANT TO UNDERSTAND -- THERE IT IS. 25 WHY IS IT IMPORTANT TO UNDERSTAND THE MINERALOGY OF TALC WHEN 26 YOU ARE DOING THESE ACTUAL MEASUREMENTS IN THESE TESTS? 27 A IT IS IMPORTANT FOR ALL THE MATERIALS BUT 28 ESPECIALLY FOR TALC FIBERS BECAUSE THOSE ARE TRANSITIONAL</p>

Page 1836	Page 1838
<p>1 A YES. SOMEBODY WHO IS USING ASBESTOS PRODUCTS AS</p> <p>2 A JOB, TYPICALLY, THEIR WORK CLOTHES WILL BECOME</p> <p>3 CONTAMINATED; AND THOSE WORK CLOTHES, IF CONTAMINATED AND IN</p> <p>4 THE LAUNDRY, THE PERSON DOING THAT CAN HAVE A SUBSTANTIAL</p> <p>5 EXPOSURE, YES, SIR.</p> <p>6 Q I DON'T REMEMBER HER DEPOSITION, BUT IN THIS</p> <p>7 COURT, BOTH MR. ANDERSON AND MRS. ANDERSON SAID THAT</p> <p>8 MR. ANDERSON'S CLOTHES WOULD BE FILTHY IN DOING THIS BRAKE</p> <p>9 WORK AND THAT SHE WOULD THEN HAVE TO SHAKE IT OUT BEFORE SHE</p> <p>10 COULD PUT IT IN THE LAUNDRY.</p> <p>11 DID YOU HAVE ANY INFORMATION ABOUT THAT?</p> <p>12 A NO. BUT IF, IN FACT, THAT CLOTHING IS</p> <p>13 CONTAMINATED FROM THAT BRAKE JOB, YES, SHE WOULD HAVE AN</p> <p>14 EXPOSURE.</p> <p>15 Q EVERY TIME -- AND YOU'VE MEASURED THESE</p> <p>16 EXPOSURES; RIGHT?</p> <p>17 A YES, SIR.</p> <p>18 Q AND HOW HIGH ARE THEY?</p> <p>19 A FOR ONE COMPRESSED AIR BLOWOUT OR ONE SANDING OF</p> <p>20 BRAKE SHOES IN THE -- YOU KNOW, POINT-SOMETHING FIBERS PER</p> <p>21 CC.</p> <p>22 Q I AM TALKING ABOUT LAUNDRY SHAKEOUTS WHERE</p> <p>23 SOMEBODY COMES HOME WITH CLOTHING FULL OF ASBESTOS WORKING</p> <p>24 WITH ASBESTOS PRODUCTS AND THEIR SPOUSE SHAKES IT OUT. HAVE</p> <p>25 YOU DONE TESTING TO SUPPORT THOSE KIND OF CLAIMS AND</p> <p>26 LITIGATION?</p> <p>27 A WE'VE DONE TESTING FOR CUTTING OF</p> <p>28 ASBESTOS-CONTAINING CEMENT PIPE, BUT WE'VE ALSO DONE TESTING</p>	<p>1 Q I'M TALKING ABOUT BRAKE WORKERS. YOU'VE</p> <p>2 TALKED -- YOU'VE TESTIFIED IN CASES WHERE THERE ARE BRAKE</p> <p>3 WORKERS, AND THAT'S THEIR ONLY KNOWN EXPOSURE TO ASBESTOS;</p> <p>4 RIGHT?</p> <p>5 A YES, SIR. CAREER BRAKE MECHANICS.</p> <p>6 Q YOU'VE TESTIFIED IN CASES WHERE PEOPLE'S ONLY</p> <p>7 KNOWN EXPOSURE TO ASBESTOS IS SHAKING OUT LAUNDRY; RIGHT?</p> <p>8 A YES, SIR.</p> <p>9 Q AND IN THOSE CASES WHERE THERE'S A LAWSUIT -- YOU</p> <p>10 WERE ASKED TO COME IN AND TESTIFY THAT THE PERSON MAKING THE</p> <p>11 CLAIM HAD A SUBSTANTIAL EXPOSURE FROM SHAKING OUT THE</p> <p>12 LAUNDRY; RIGHT?</p> <p>13 A YES, SIR.</p> <p>14 Q SO WE TALKED ABOUT DOING THIS PIPE-COVER TESTING.</p> <p>15 HOW MUCH DID YOU MAKE PER TEST WHEN PLAINTIFFS' ATTORNEYS</p> <p>16 WERE HIRING YOU TO DO THESE WORK PRACTICE STUDIES?</p> <p>17 A WE -- THE COMPANY CHARGES ANYWHERE FROM 15- TO</p> <p>18 \$25,000, SOME AS HIGH AS 30- OR \$40,000 PER STUDY, IF EITHER</p> <p>19 PLAINTIFFS OR DEFENDANTS HIRE US TO DO THESE STUDIES.</p> <p>20 MR. BAILEY: CORI, COULD YOU PUT UP SLIDE 2, PLEASE.</p> <p>21 Q DO YOU SEE THAT? BENDIX BRAKES WORK SIMULATION</p> <p>22 DEMONSTRATION. THAT'S THE WORK PRACTICE YOU WERE TALKING TO</p> <p>23 ME ABOUT EARLIER; RIGHT?</p> <p>24 A THAT'S ONE OF THESE.</p> <p>25 Q THIS IS A STUDY FOR BENDIX BRAKES FOR FORD</p> <p>26 VEHICLES WHERE AN ATTORNEY REPRESENTING A PLAINTIFF ASKED YOU</p> <p>27 TO CONDUCT A STUDY OF THOSE PRODUCTS; RIGHT?</p> <p>28 A THAT IS CORRECT.</p>
Page 1837	Page 1839
<p>1 WHERE IT IS A VERY -- IT IS A BRAKE JOB WHERE IT IS ONE</p> <p>2 COMPRESSED BLOWOUT OR IT IS ONE SANDING, AND THOSE LEVELS ARE</p> <p>3 AROUND THE .1 FIBER PER CC RANGE.</p> <p>4 Q I MUST NOT BE MAKING MY QUESTION CLEAR. LAUNDRY</p> <p>5 THERE ON THE LIST -- MY QUESTION IS YOU HAVE STUDIED, HAVEN'T</p> <p>6 YOU, HOW MUCH ASBESTOS DUST IS EMITTED BY SHAKING OUT LAUNDRY</p> <p>7 WHEN IT HAS BEEN INTRODUCED TO ASBESTOS DUST FROM USING SOME</p> <p>8 OF THESE PRODUCTS YOU ARE TESTING? RIGHT?</p> <p>9 A YES, SIR. I'M TALKING ABOUT BRAKE JOBS, LAUNDRY</p> <p>10 WITH WORK CLOTHES ON A BRAKE JOB SIMILAR TO WHAT HAS BEEN</p> <p>11 TESTIFIED HERE. THOSE RANGES ARE .1 FIBERS PER CC.</p> <p>12 Q WELL ABOVE BACKGROUND, ACCORDING TO WHAT YOU GAVE</p> <p>13 US EARLIER; RIGHT?</p> <p>14 A YES, IT IS.</p> <p>15 Q SUBSTANTIALLY ABOVE BACKGROUND. TRUE?</p> <p>16 A THAT'S TRUE.</p> <p>17 Q YOU KNEW, IF YOU READ HER DEPOSITION, THAT SHE</p> <p>18 DID THAT WORK FOR DECADES; CORRECT?</p> <p>19 A THE BRAKE JOBS?</p> <p>20 Q SHAKING OUT THE LAUNDRY.</p> <p>21 A I GUESS OVER THE TIME THE 17 OR 18 BRAKE JOBS AND</p> <p>22 CLUTCHES, YES, SIR.</p> <p>23 Q YOU TESTIFIED IN CASES, HAVEN'T YOU, DR. LONGO,</p> <p>24 WHERE, NOT ONLY WAS THE ONLY EXPOSURE TO ASBESTOS THROUGH</p> <p>25 BRAKES BUT THAT THERE WERE FEWER BRAKES JOBS THAN</p> <p>26 MR. ANDERSON'S TALKING ABOUT HERE, HAVEN'T YOU?</p> <p>27 A NO. I DON'T THINK SO. CERTAINLY NOTHING WITH</p> <p>28 LAUNDRY.</p>	<p>1 Q WHEN DID YOU DO THAT WORK? DO YOU RECALL?</p> <p>2 A I'M LOOKING AT THE ADDRESS. NORCROSS, GEORGIA.</p> <p>3 THAT WOULD -- THAT WAS THE FIRST BUILDING WE WERE IN. SO</p> <p>4 THAT HAD TO BE PRE-1999.</p> <p>5 Q I AM JUST TRYING TO GET SOME SORT OF FEEL OF WHAT</p> <p>6 YOU WERE DOING DURING DIFFERENT -- SAY, FIVE- OR TEN-YEAR</p> <p>7 PERIODS.</p> <p>8 YOU TOLD US EARLY ON YOU WERE DOING TESTS OF PIPE</p> <p>9 COVERING. WHEN DID YOU START DOING THESE BRAKE TESTS?</p> <p>10 A I THINK THAT WAS 1998 OR 1999.</p> <p>11 Q TIME FLIES. ALMOST 20 YEARS AGO; RIGHT?</p> <p>12 A I KNOW. YOU HAVEN'T AGED BUT I HAVE.</p> <p>13 MR. BAILEY: SLIDE 3, PLEASE.</p> <p>14 Q NOW, HERE FEL-PRO AUTOMOTIVE GASKETS. YOU'VE</p> <p>15 TESTED FEL-PRO AUTOMOTIVE GASKETS TO SEE IF THEY HAVE</p> <p>16 ASBESTOS; CORRECT?</p> <p>17 A THAT IS CORRECT.</p> <p>18 Q AND YOU KNOW BECAUSE YOU READ THE TESTIMONY IN</p> <p>19 THIS CASE THAT MR. AND MRS. ANDERSON CLAIM TO HAVE BEEN -- TO</p> <p>20 HAVE USED FEL-PRO AUTOMOTIVE GASKETS; RIGHT?</p> <p>21 A THAT IS CORRECT.</p> <p>22 Q YOU'VE TESTED THESE FOR ASBESTOS CONTENT; RIGHT?</p> <p>23 A YES.</p> <p>24 Q AND YOU TESTED THIS IN A LAWSUIT WHERE</p> <p>25 PLAINTIFFS' ATTORNEY ASKED YOU TO DO THAT BECAUSE THEY WERE</p> <p>26 MAKING A CLAIM AGAINST THE MANUFACTURER OF FEL-PRO AUTOMOTIVE</p> <p>27 GASKETS; CORRECT?</p> <p>28 A I BELIEVE SO.</p>

Page 1840	Page 1842
<p>1 Q AND WHAT WOULD THE CHARGE FOR THAT TEST HAVE 2 BEEN? 3 A THAT, I DON'T RECALL. PROBABLY BACK THEN MAYBE 4 \$15,000 MY COMPANY WOULD HAVE CHARGED FOR. 5 Q HOW MANY OF THESE WORK PRACTICE STUDIES HAVE YOU 6 DONE IN YOUR CAREER? 7 A WHERE ONE SIDE OR THE OTHER, PLAINTIFFS OR 8 DEFENDANTS, HAVE COMMISSIONED US, PROBABLY 50. 9 Q ALWAYS SOMEWHERE BETWEEN 15,000. AND I THINK YOU 10 SAID ON THE HIGH END 40,000 A MINUTE AGO? 11 A I WOULD SAY THE MAJORITY ARE 15,000. 12 MR. BAILEY: SLIDE 4, PLEASE, CORI. 13 Q YOU'VE ALSO TESTED SANDING CLUTCH PLATES FOR 14 BORG-WARNER; CORRECT? 15 A YES, SIR. 16 Q THIS WOULD BE IN CASES -- AND YOU'VE TESTIFIED 17 ABOUT THE RESULTS OF THIS IN TRIAL; RIGHT? 18 A I DON'T THINK I EVER HAVE. 19 Q THIS WAS BROUGHT IN A CASE WHERE YOU WERE OFFERED 20 AS AN EXPERT WITNESS, I TAKE IT, TO TESTIFY ABOUT THE RESULTS 21 OF YOUR WORK; RIGHT? 22 A CORRECT. BUT I DON'T THINK I'VE EVER TESTIFIED 23 IN COURT ABOUT THESE RESULTS. 24 Q OKAY. YOU DID THE WORK, AND THE PURPOSE OF THE 25 WORK WAS TO SEE WHETHER OR NOT ASBESTOS WAS GENERATED IN 26 WORKING WITH THESE BORG-WARNER CLUTCH BRAKES -- CLUTCH 27 PLATES; CORRECT? 28 A YES, SIR.</p>	<p>1 TALCUM POWDER UNTIL 2017 OR THEREABOUT; RIGHT? 2 A THAT'S CORRECT. 3 Q SO YOU'D BEEN HEAVILY INVOLVED IN LITIGATION FROM 4 1990 UP UNTIL 2017 BEFORE ANY OF THESE PLAINTIFFS' LAWYERS 5 THAT YOU HAD WORKED FOR ALL THESE YEARS EVER ASKED YOU TO DO 6 ANY TYPE OF TESTING ON TALCUM POWDER. THAT'S TRUE, ISN'T IT? 7 A NO. 8 Q WHEN DID YOU FIRST GET CONTACTED BY MARK LANIER? 9 A THAT'S DIFFERENT. I'VE BEEN CONTACTED OVER THE 10 YEARS ABOUT TESTIFYING AND WORKING IN THIS AREA AND HAVE 11 TURNED IT DOWN. IN 2016 IT WAS EITHER MARK LANIER OR JOE 12 SATTERELY. 13 Q PRIOR TO THAT YOU'D NEVER TESTED A TALCUM POWDER 14 AT ALL FOR ANY REASON; RIGHT? 15 A THAT'S CORRECT. 16 Q LET ME ASK JUST A COUPLE QUESTIONS OTHER THAN 17 YOUR NAME HERE, AND THAT IS, WHO YOU ARE AND WHAT YOU DO. 18 YOU TOLD US YOU'RE NOT AN INDUSTRIAL HYGIENIST; 19 CORRECT? 20 A I'M NOT A CERTIFIED INDUSTRIAL HYGIENIST. 21 Q WHAT INDUSTRIAL HYGIENISTS DO, THEY GO INTO 22 WORKPLACES OR PLACES WHERE THERE IS AN OPPORTUNITY FOR 23 EXPOSURE TO SOMETHING AND TAKE MEASUREMENTS. THAT'S THE 24 FIRST PART OF BEING AN INDUSTRIAL HYGIENIST; CORRECT? 25 A THAT'S ONE OF THE THINGS THEY CAN DO, YES. 26 Q AND THEY SOMETIMES MAKE EVALUATIONS AS TO WHAT 27 THEIR EXPOSURE LEVELS ARE AND WHETHER OR NOT THEY CARRY ANY 28 RISK WITH THEM. TRUE?</p>
Page 1841	Page 1843
<p>1 Q AND YOU READ THE DEPOSITION, I GUESS, OF THE 2 PLAINTIFFS. THEY IDENTIFIED THE CLUTCHES THEY WERE USING AS 3 BORG-WARNER; RIGHT? 4 A CORRECT. BUT NOT SANDING. JUST TAKING THEM OUT. 5 Q IN COURT BOTH MR. AND MRS. ANDERSON TALKED ABOUT 6 HOW IT IS THEY WENT ABOUT TAKING THESE CLUTCHES OFF. YOU 7 HADN'T BEEN PROVIDED WITH ANY TESTIMONY FROM THE COURTROOM, 8 HAVE YOU? 9 A NOT CONCERNING THE CLUTCHES, NO. 10 Q OKAY. NOW, FOR BRAKES, FOR CLUTCHES, FOR 11 GASKETS, MRS. ANDERSON ALSO TOLD US THAT SHE WOULD HAVE THE 12 RESPONSIBILITY OF SWEEPING UP THE DEBRIS AND THE DUST THAT 13 FELL UNDERNEATH THE CAR. DID YOU SEE THAT IN HER DEPOSITION? 14 A YES, SIR. 15 Q NOW, YOU'VE ALSO BEEN PAID BY PLAINTIFFS' 16 ATTORNEYS TO STUDY HOW MUCH ASBESTOS DUST IS CREATED IN 17 SWEEPING UP AFTER ASBESTOS PRODUCTS ARE USED; RIGHT? 18 A IN SOME CASES, YES. 19 Q YOU RECOGNIZE AND ALWAYS HAVE THAT THE SWEEPING 20 UP OF ASBESTOS DEBRIS AND DUST CREATES ANOTHER OPPORTUNITY 21 FOR SUBSTANTIAL EXPOSURE TO ASBESTOS; RIGHT? 22 A THAT IS CORRECT. 23 Q AND YOU'VE TESTIFIED ABOUT THAT IN A NUMBER OF 24 COURTROOMS, HAVEN'T YOU? 25 A YES, SIR. 26 Q SO WE WENT THROUGH WHEN IT IS YOU WERE TESTING 27 PIPE COVERING, WHEN IT IS YOU WERE TESTING BRAKES. IT'S 28 TRUE, ISN'T IT, THAT NO ONE ASKED YOU TO INVESTIGATE OR TEST</p>	<p>1 A THAT'S PART OF IT, YES. 2 Q ALL RIGHT. YOU'RE NOT A STATISTICIAN; CORRECT? 3 A THAT IS CORRECT. 4 Q YOU'RE NOT AN EPIDEMIOLOGIST; CORRECT? 5 A THAT'S RIGHT. 6 Q THE JURY'S HEARD ABOUT EPIDEMIOLOGY. THAT'S NOT 7 SOMETHING YOU ARE INVOLVED IN, IS IT? 8 A NO, SIR, IT IS NOT. 9 Q YOU'RE NOT A GEOLOGIST; RIGHT? 10 A I AM NOT. 11 Q BY THAT, WHEN WE TALK ABOUT GEOLOGY IN THE MINES 12 AND THINGS OF THAT NATURE, THAT'S AN AREA THAT YOU DON'T HAVE 13 ANY FORMAL TRAINING IN; CORRECT? 14 A I'M NOT A GEOLOGIST. 15 Q NOR DO YOU HAVE ANY INTENTION OF TESTIFYING ABOUT 16 ANYTHING THAT IS DRIVEN BY GEOLOGY AS AN EDUCATION OR 17 TRAINING; RIGHT? 18 A NO, SIR. HOW THE MINES WERE FORMED, WHY THEY 19 HAVE ACCESSORY MINERALS WITH ASBESTOS, AND THE METAMORPHOSE 20 AND HOW IT CHANGES OVER TIME AND THE DIFFERENT SITUATION -- 21 THAT'S NOT SOMETHING I TESTIFY ABOUT. 22 Q WHERE MINERALS ARE, WHERE THEY ARE NOT, WHY 23 THEY'RE THERE, WHY THEY'RE FORMED, OR WHY THEY'RE NOT -- 24 THAT'S A QUESTION FOR GEOLOGISTS AND MINERALOGISTS; RIGHT? 25 A THAT'S A PRETTY BROAD AREA. I DON'T TALK ABOUT 26 HOW THE MINES ARE FORMED. I TALK ABOUT ACCESSORY MINERALS. 27 THAT'S SOMETHING WE DO AND ANALYZE ALL THE TIME. THE 28 MINERALOGY ON THE IDENTIFICATION OF ASBESTOS, OF COURSE, I</p>

Page 1844	Page 1846
<p>1 HAVE LOTS OF TRAINING IN THAT.</p> <p>2 BUT MY PRIMARY FUNCTION WAS TO LOOK AT THESE TALC</p> <p>3 SAMPLES, TALCUM POWDER SAMPLES, IN USING MATERIAL SCIENCE</p> <p>4 SKILLS LIKE ELECTRON MICROSCOPY SKILLS, WAS THERE ASBESTOS</p> <p>5 THERE OR NOT? THAT'S WHAT WE DO.</p> <p>6 Q AND AS FAR AS QUESTIONS THAT ARE RAISED BEYOND</p> <p>7 THAT WOULD REQUIRE A GEOLOGIST TO OFFER AN EDUCATED AND</p> <p>8 TRAINED OPINION, YOU'RE NOT A GEOLOGIST?</p> <p>9 A I DON'T HAVE A DEGREE IN GEOLOGY.</p> <p>10 THE COURT: IS THIS A GOOD TIME TO TAKE A BREAK?</p> <p>11 MR. BAILEY: IT IS, YOUR HONOR.</p> <p>12 THE COURT: WE ARE GOING TO TAKE A RECESS AT THIS</p> <p>13 POINT. REMEMBER THE ADMONITION NOT TO FORM OR EXPRESS AN</p> <p>14 OPINION. WE ARE GOING TO RETURN AT 3:25. THAT GIVES YOU</p> <p>15 ABOUT 12 MINUTES. AND THEN WE WILL CONTINUE WITH THE</p> <p>16 TESTIMONY. PLEASE RETURN IN 12 MINUTES.</p> <p>17</p> <p>18 (RECESS).</p> <p>19</p> <p>20 (THE FOLLOWING PROCEEDINGS WERE HELD</p> <p>21 IN THE PRESENCE OF THE JURY:)</p> <p>22</p> <p>23 THE COURT: EVERYONE BE SEATED. BACK ON THE RECORD IN</p> <p>24 THE ANDERSON V. JOHNSON & JOHNSON, ET AL.</p> <p>25 AND, MR. BAILEY, YOU MAY PROCEED WITH YOUR</p> <p>26 CROSS-EXAMINATION.</p> <p>27 MR. BAILEY: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.</p> <p>28 Q DR. LONGO, WE'VE HEARD EVIDENCE IN THIS CASE</p>	<p>1 PLASTERERS AND FIREPROOFING AND DETERMINE WHO MADE IT.</p> <p>2 THAT'S PROBABLY THE MAJORITY OF THE WORK I WAS DOING IN THE</p> <p>3 1990s.</p> <p>4 Q YOU WERE GOING INTO BUILDINGS BUILT IN THE '50s</p> <p>5 AND '60s THAT WERE FULL OF ASBESTOS; RIGHT?</p> <p>6 A NO. WE WERE THE LAB WHERE CONSULTANTS WHO WERE</p> <p>7 TAKING SAMPLES UNDER A HIRA AND SENDING THEM TO FIREPROOFING</p> <p>8 ACOUSTICAL PLASTERERS AND WOULD ESSENTIALLY REVERSE ENGINEER</p> <p>9 THEM ALL THE WAY BACK TO THE MANUFACTURER WHO MADE THEM.</p> <p>10 Q YOU WERE TRYING TO IDENTIFY THE NAME OF THE</p> <p>11 MANUFACTURER THAT MADE IT, THAT MADE THE PRODUCTS THAT WERE</p> <p>12 USED IN THESE BUILDINGS; CORRECT?</p> <p>13 A THAT IS CORRECT.</p> <p>14 Q THESE BUILDINGS WERE BUILT, LIKE MRS. ANDERSON'S</p> <p>15 HOME, IN THE '50s AND THE '60s MANY TIMES, WEREN'T THEY?</p> <p>16 A NO. THESE WERE BIG SCHOOLS. THESE WERE STATE</p> <p>17 BUILDINGS. THERE WAS NO RESIDENTIAL HOMES. THERE'S NO</p> <p>18 PRODUCT IDENTIFICATION IN RESIDENTIAL HOMES BECAUSE THERE'S</p> <p>19 ONLY A COUPLE DIFFERENT ASBESTOS PRODUCTS EVER USED IN THESE</p> <p>20 HOMES.</p> <p>21 Q THAT WAS A BAD QUESTION.</p> <p>22 MANY OF THE BUILDINGS THAT YOU WERE LOOKING AT OR</p> <p>23 THAT WERE BEING INVESTIGATED WERE BUILT IN THE '50s AND THE</p> <p>24 '60s. THAT'S TRUE, ISN'T?</p> <p>25 A MID '50s, '60s, '70s, YES.</p> <p>26 Q SOMEBODY WAS GOING IN TO TEST TO SEE IF THERE WAS</p> <p>27 ASBESTOS, TAKING IT TO YOU, AND YOU WERE TRYING TO IDENTIFY</p> <p>28 WHO MADE THE PRODUCT; CORRECT?</p>
Page 1845	Page 1847
<p>1 THROUGHOUT ABOUT PEOPLE WHO HAVE PUBLISHED ARTICLES IN THE</p> <p>2 PEER-REVIEW LITERATURE. YOU'RE FAMILIAR WITH THAT PROCESS;</p> <p>3 CORRECT?</p> <p>4 A I AM.</p> <p>5 Q YOU'VE NEVER PUBLISHED ANY PAPERS ON TALC, HAVE</p> <p>6 YOU?</p> <p>7 A I HAVE NOT.</p> <p>8 Q YOU'VE NEVER BEEN TO A TALC MINE, HAVE YOU?</p> <p>9 A I HAVE NOT.</p> <p>10 Q YOU'VE NEVER TESTED COSMETIC TALC OUTSIDE OF</p> <p>11 LITIGATION; CORRECT?</p> <p>12 A THAT IS CORRECT.</p> <p>13 Q YOU'VE NEVER TESTED COSMETIC TALC WHEN YOU</p> <p>14 WEREN'T BEING PAID TO DO IT BY PLAINTIFFS' COUNSEL. TRUE?</p> <p>15 A THAT'S TRUE. WE FIRST STARTED TESTING COSMETIC</p> <p>16 TALC WHEN WE WERE CONTACTED BY PLAINTIFFS' FIRMS TO DO THAT.</p> <p>17 Q IN ALL THE WORK THAT YOU'VE DONE ON BRAKES, ON</p> <p>18 PIPE COVERING, ON BOILERS, ON JOINT COMPOUND, THAT TOOK A</p> <p>19 SUBSTANTIAL PERCENTAGE OF YOUR TIME 10 OR 20 YEARS AGO,</p> <p>20 DIDN'T IT?</p> <p>21 A ABOUT 30, 35% OF MY TIME I SPENT ON THAT TYPE OF</p> <p>22 RESEARCH.</p> <p>23 Q AND IN THE 1990s, THE VAST MAJORITY OF THE</p> <p>24 CASES YOU WERE TESTIFYING ABOUT WERE PIPE COVERING,</p> <p>25 BOILERMAKERS, BOILERS, THINGS OF THAT NATURE. TRUE?</p> <p>26 A NO. THE VAST MAJORITY WHAT WE WERE DOING WAS</p> <p>27 PROPERTY DAMAGE CASES WHERE WE WERE HIRED BY ALL THESE CITIES</p> <p>28 AND STATES TO ANALYZE THE ASBESTOS-CONTAINING ACOUSTICAL</p>	<p>1 A CORRECT. BUILDINGS LIKE THIS -- LIKE THIS</p> <p>2 CEILING OR FIREPROOFING OR 50-, 60-, 70-STORY BUILDINGS OR</p> <p>3 SCHOOL SYSTEMS, ONE AFTER ANOTHER, YES, SIR.</p> <p>4 Q WHERE YOU KNEW, BECAUSE OF CONSTRUCTION IN THE</p> <p>5 '50s, THERE WAS ASBESTOS ALL OVER THE BUILDINGS?</p> <p>6 A NO. WE KNEW WHAT WAS IN THERE BECAUSE SOMEBODY</p> <p>7 WENT IN AND TOOK ASBESTOS-CONTAINING FIREPROOFING, WHICH</p> <p>8 STARTED IN THE MID '50s BECAUSE OF CODES; AND SO YOU COULD</p> <p>9 HAVE A TWO-HOUR OR THREE-HOUR WINDOW IN THE BUILDING OR</p> <p>10 ACOUSTICAL PLASTERS WHERE -- LIKE THIS WHERE YOU DON'T WANT</p> <p>11 SOUND BOUNCING AROUND.</p> <p>12 MR. BAILEY: I OBJECT AS NONRESPONSIVE.</p> <p>13 THE COURT: SUSTAINED.</p> <p>14 Q BY MR. BAILEY: YOU ARE WELL AWARE AND DON'T</p> <p>15 DISPUTE THAT, IN THE '50s AND '60s, WHAT WE ALL KNOW IS</p> <p>16 THAT HOUSES WERE FULL OF ASBESTOS. THAT'S A FACT.</p> <p>17 A NO, IT IS NOT.</p> <p>18 Q OKAY. HOW MANY CASES DO YOU THINK YOU'VE</p> <p>19 TESTIFIED OVER THE LAST TEN YEARS WHERE THE PRIMARY EXPOSURE</p> <p>20 YOU WERE THERE TO TESTIFY ABOUT WAS ASBESTOS INSTALLED IN A</p> <p>21 HOME?</p> <p>22 A VERY FEW FROM WHEN IT WAS -- THE HOME WAS</p> <p>23 SOMEBODY BOUGHT IT. I WOULD SAY THE MAJORITY OF IT IS WHEN,</p> <p>24 DURING THE CONSTRUCTION STARTING IN THE LATE '50s AND OF</p> <p>25 ALL THE RESIDENTIAL HOMES, THIS WOULD BE NEW CONSTRUCTION</p> <p>26 WITH ASBESTOS-CONTAINING JOINT COMPOUND. THAT IS THE PRIMARY</p> <p>27 RESIDENTIAL HOME ASBESTOS BUT ONLY DURING CONSTRUCTION WHERE</p> <p>28 SOMEBODY WHO'S GOING IN AND DOING MAJOR REMODELING.</p>

TRIAL DAY 14, PM SESSION, on MAY 15, 2018
ANDERSON, et al. vs. BORG-WARNER CORPORATION, et al.

<div>1SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA</div> <div>2FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES</div> <div>3DEPARTMENT NO. 2 HON. GLORIA WHITE-BROWN, JUDGE</div> <div>4</div> <div>5COORDINATED PROCEEDING) J.C.C.P. NO. 4674</div> <div>6SPECIAL TITLE (RULE 3.550))</div> <div>7LAOSD ASBESTOS CASES)</div> <div>8)</div> <div>9JOANNE ANDERSON AND GARY ANDERSON,) NO. BC666513</div> <div>10PLAINTIFFS,)</div> <div>11VS.) REPORTER'S</div> <div>12) CERTIFICATE</div> <div>13BORG-WARNER CORPORATION BY ITS)</div> <div>14SUCCESSOR-IN-INTEREST BORG-WARNER MORSE)</div> <div>15TEC, INC., ET AL.,)</div> <div>16DEFENDANTS.)</div> <div>17</div> <div>18I, GAYE L. LIMON, CSR #7416, OFFICIAL REPORTER PRO</div> <div>19TEMPORE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA, FOR</div> <div>20THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, DO HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE</div> <div>21FOREGOING PAGES, 1786 THROUGH 1887, COMPRISE A FULL, TRUE,</div> <div>22AND CORRECT TRANSCRIPT OF THE PROCEEDINGS AND TESTIMONY TAKEN</div> <div>23IN THE MATTER OF THE ABOVE-ENTITLED CAUSE ON MAY 15, 2018.</div> <div>24THIS TRANSCRIPT WAS PREPARED IN COMPLIANCE WITH</div> <div>25237(A)(2) OF THE CODE OF CIVIL PROCEDURE.</div> <div>26DATED THIS 16TH DAY OF MAY 2018.</div> <div>27 , CSR #7416</div> <div>28GAYE L. LIMON, OFFICIAL PRO TEMPORE REPORTER</div>	